

United States Patent and Trademark Office



SW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,989 03/23/2001		3/2001	Anthony Frank Menninger	41556/04095 (RSI1P056)	7292	
22428	7590	10/29/2003		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN	D LARDNI	ZEENDER, F	ZEENDER, FLORIAN M			
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20	0007	3627			

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$\leq M$				
		Applicati n No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/815,989	!	MENNINGER ET	AL.				
en.	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit	,				
	•	F. Ryan Zeender		3627					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh t with th correspond nce address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minin will apply and will expire SI . cause the application to I	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	January 2003 .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	١.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	tion.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirem	nent.						
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	ved.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	ved in Application	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •							
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u>	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/815,989

«Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shavit et al.

Shavit et al. disclose, or inherently teach, all of the limitations of the claims including: registering a plurality of users (see for example, Col. 6, lines 9-13), security and limited access (see for example, Col. 9, lines 43-56), use of identity and password data (see for example, Col. 10, lines 1-15), maintaining a registered users list (see for example, Col. 11, lines 22-35); but lacks the specific teaching of updating the list to add, edit, and delete users upon receipt of a notice from at least one of the stores.

It would have been an obvious design choice at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to update the subscriber list by adding and deleting subscribers upon receiving a notification from a subscriber, in order to provide a current list of subscribers and to allow members to be able to withdraw from the service when the service is no longer needed or desired, as is well known with subscription systems on the Internet.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,989

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

F. Zeender

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

R. Z= 10/77/03

October 27, 2003